ശ്രീ. എ. ജെ. ജാൺ: യൂണിവേഴ്സിറ്റിയാണ്.

ശ്രീ. ഇ. കെ. മാധവൻ: യൂനിവേഴ്സിറ്റി കെട്ടേക്കണ്ട കായ്ത്തിനു് സവർമ്മെണ്ടിനോടു് അപേക്ഷിച്ചുകൊണ്ടു് വല്ല പ്രയോജനവുമുണ്ടോ ?

ശ്രീ. എ. ജെ. ജാൺ: യാതൊരു പ്രയോജനവുമില്ല. അതു് യൂണിവേഴ്സിറ്റി മാത്രം തീരുമാനിക്കേണ്ട കായ്മാണ്.

LEGISLATIVE BUSINESS

Agricultural Income Tax Bill—(*cont.*)

Mr. Speaker: When the House rose on the last day, I believe the Hon'ble Minister was replying to the amendment moved by Sri. G. P. Nilakanta Pillai.

Sri. A. J. John: I am prepared to accept the amendment with this modification: "it consists of at least five members".

Sri. Ittiera Ambookan: Though I am not against this amendment being accepted, I wish to point out that when the very same amendment was moved by Mr. K. M. Chandy, the Hon'ble Minister opposed the amendment. Now it seems that the very same amendment moved by Mr. G. P. Nilakanta Pillai is being accepted.

Mr. Speaker: The amendment moved by Mr. Chandy was different.

Sri. Ittiera Ambookan: Sir, the amendment moved by Mr. K. M. Chandy—Amendment No. 36 in the list reads thus:

"In schedule Part I proviso, in the second paragraph, delete the words 'Rs. 6,000' and instead add 'Rs. 7,200' and delete the words 'entitled to a share on partition'."

In principle it is more or less the same.

Mr. Speaker: What he moved was to delete the words 'entitled to a share on partition'. The last portion only was moved by Mr. Chandy.

Sri. Ittiera Ambookan: Sir, May I draw the attention of the Chair to Standing Orders, clause 21. Clause 21 says: "A motion must not raise a question substantially identical with one on which the Assembly has given a decision in the same session". This is substantially identical. These two motions are not only identical, but in principle the same.

Mr. Speaker: They are not substantially identical. Moreover this amendment was allowed to be moved and was being considered by the House.

The Minister says he is agreeable to the acceptance of the amendment with some slight modification.

Sri. K. M. Chandy: Sir, the amendment moved by Mr. G. P. Nilakanta Pillai is to substitute the words 'it consists of five members' for the words 'it has at lease five members entitled to a share on partition'. The Hon'ble Minister says that he is agreeable to the acceptance of the amendment with some slight modification, i.e., 'it consists of at least five members'. It means that this is practically the same as that was moved by me. When the section is re-written with the amendment now moved by Mr. G. P. Nilakanta Pillai as modified by the Minister, it is just like the section as amended by my amendment. Therefore it is the same.

Sri. A. J. John: The amendment of Mr. Chandy—Amendment No. 36 in the list—reads thus: "Delete the words 'entitled to a share on partition'."

Sri. K. M. Chandy: I did not move the first portion; I moved only the second portion, with the permission of the Chair.

Mr. Speaker: I allowed the request made by Mr. Chandy that the amendment to delete the last few words alone need be moved. The past history of yesterday's motion need not be gone into in such great detail. The only point relevant for our present purposes is to see whether Mr. Chandy's motion moved and disposed of yesterday and Mr. Nilakanta Pillai's motion moved and pending disposal today are substantially identical. I find they are not. Mr. Nilakanta Pillai's motion can be considered and disposed of.

Sri. K. M. Chandy: My amendment implies the suggestion now made by the Hon'ble Minister.

LIBRARY ARCHIVES Kerala Legislative Assembly

Page 1

Sri. K. P. Nilakanta Pillai: To throw more light in the matter, I may be permitted to speak a few words. What actually took place and what we understood was this. The Hon'ble Member wanted to move an amendment. He stood up to move and then some people stared at him. He was terrified by that and he said that the other portion may go. We were not quite sure of what he was doing. But somehow he stated that he wanted to move the latter portion only.

Sri. G. P. Nilakanta Pillai: I accept the modification suggested by the Hon'ble Minister.

Mr. Speaker: I take it that the House is agreeable to the acceptance of the amendment of Mr. G. P. Nilakanta Pillai, as modified by the Minister.

The amendment with the modification proposed by the Hon'ble Minister was adopted.

Sri. K. Ramakrishna Pillai: Sir I move that.

"In part I Schedule, second or further proviso, delete the bracket I and figure I, and insert the same between the words 'that' and 'the' in linen."

Provided Further that എന്നുള്ളതിനുശേഷം (a) ചേർക്കണം എന്നുള്ളതാണ് ഈ ഭേദഗതി. ഇത് സ്വീകരിപ്പാനപേക്ഷ.

ശ്രീ. എ. ജെ. ജോൺ: ഈ ഭേദഗതി ഞാൻ സ്വീകരിക്കുന്നു

The amedement was adopted.

Sri. K. Ramakrishna Pillai: I move that.

"In the above proviso, the words 'three thousand rupees' occurring at the end of paragraph I, add the words 'or six thousand rupees, as the case may be'; and to the words 'maximum rate' occurring at the end of paragraph 2, add the words, on the whole of the total agricultural income."

"Provided that no agricultural income-tax shall be payable on a total agricultural income which is less than three thousand rupees."

'Which is less than three thousand rupees' എന്നുള്ളത് കൂടുതൽ വ്യക്തമാക്കുവാനായിട്ട് പറയുകയാണ്. അതുപോലെതന്നെ and to the words maximum rate എന്നുള്ളതിനോടുകൂടി on the whole of the Total Agricultural എന്നുകൂടി ചേർക്കേണ്ടതാണ്.

ശ്രീ. എ. ജെ. ജോൺ: ഞാൻ ഈ ഭേദഗതിയെ സ്വീകരിക്കുന്നു.

The amedment was adopted.

Mr. Speaker: I think Mr. Kodanat Narayanan Namboodiripad has given notice of an amendment—Amendment No. 3 in Supplement List No. 4.

Sri. Kodanat Narayanan Namboodiripad: Sri, I move that.

"In Schedule Part I, in the 2nd paragraph of the proviso, after the words 'Marumakathayam tarwad', add 'including Nambudiri family and such other families who follow the law applicable to Nambudiris'."

ഇതിൽപറയുന്ന തത്വം ഈ ബില്ലിൽ അംഗീകരിച്ചിട്ടുണ്ട്. ഞാൻ ഈ ഭേദഗതി അവതരിപ്പിക്കുന്നത് ഈ പ്രൊവിഷൻ ഒന്നു വ്യക്തമാക്കുവാൻ വേണ്ടിയാണ്. അതുകൊണ്ട് ഈ ഭേദഗതി അംഗീകരിക്കണമെന്നു അപേക്ഷിക്കുന്നു.

Sri. A. J. John: I am prepared to accept that amendment with a slight modification. The amendment suggested as modified by me will be "including a Nambudiri family or a family like that of the Moothathu or any other class governed by the law applicable to Nambudiris." I propose to agree with the amendment, because in clause 3, the very same words are used.

Sri. Kodanat Narayanan Namboodiripad: I have no objection to the modification Suggested by the of Hon'ble Minister.

Sri. A. J. John: After the amendment, the proviso will read thus.

"The limit referred to in the above proviso shall be Rs. 6,000 in the case of every Hindu undivided family or Marumakathayam tarwad, including a Nambudiri family or a family like that of the Moothathu or any other class governed by the law applicable to Nambudiri which satisfies at the end of the previous year the condition that it has at least five membrs,

LIBRARY ARCHIVES Kerala Legislative Assembly

ശ്രീ. കോടനാട് നാരായണൻ നമ്പൂതിരിപ്പാട്: ഞാൻ അതു് അംഗീകരിക്കുന്നു.

The amendment with the modification proposed by the Hon'ble Minister was adopted.
The question that the Schedule (Parts I & II) stand part of the Bill was put and carried.
The schedule (parts I & II) was added to the Bill.
The question that the preamble stand part of the Bill was put and carried.
The preamble was added to the Bill.
The question that the title stand part of the Bill was put and carried.
The title was added to the Bill.

Sri. A. J. John: I move that the Bill as amended be passed.

Sri. A. Thanu Pillai: Sir, a number of amendments were accepted this day. I do not think that the Finance Minister has gone through the whole thing, even to satisfy himself that no consequential amendments will be necessary. Why not he take a day and pass it tomorrow? The practice itself is wrong. One can understand this procedure if it is the last day on which the Bill has to be passed into law. Then the Hon'ble Minister may have some justification. But normally the procedure is wrong. You should see that at least a day is taken so that the necessary consequential amendments may be considered and suggested before passing the Bill.

Sri. A. J. John: I have no objection to postpone the third reading of the Bill for tomorrow.

Mr. Speaker: The third reading of the Bill will be taken up tomorrow.

The Travancore Cochin Prisoners Bill

Chief Minister **[Sri. T. K. Narayana Pillai]:** I move that the Prisoners Bill as reported by the Select Committee may be taken into consideration at once.

Sri. A. J. John: I second the motion.

The question that the Bill, as reported by the Select Committee, be taken into consideration was put and carried.

Mr. Speaker: The title and the preamble as usual will be taken at the end.

Sri. T. K. Narayana Pillai: I move: In sub-clause (1) of clause 1, for the words "United State of Travancore and Cochin," substitute the word "Travancore-Cochin."

The amendment has become necessary in the light of the provisions of the Indian Constitution.
The Amendment was put and carried.

Sri. T. K. Narayana Pillai: I move: In sub-clause (2) of clause 1, for the words "United State," substitute the words "State of Travancore-Cochin."

The amendment was put and carried.

The question that clause 1, as amended, stand part of the Bill was put and carried.

Clause 1, as amended, was added to the Bill.

Sri. T. K. Narayana Pillai: I move: After sub-clause (1) (c) of Clause 2, insert the following as clause (d):—"(d)" State "means, the State of Travancore-Cochin." This also has been necessitated by the provisions of the Indian Constitution.

The amendment was put and carried.
The question that clauses 2 to 8 stand part of the Bill was put and carried.
Clauses 2 to 8 were added to the Bill.

Sri. T. K. Narayana Pillai: I move:

In sub-clause (4) of clause 9, for the words, "the Government may order his removal to any such asylum or place within any of the provinces of India by agreement with the Provincial Government concerned," substitute the words, "the Government may order his removal to any such asylum or place within any State or Territory specified in the First Schedule to the Constitution of India by agreement with the Government of the State or Territory concerned."

This also has become necessary in the light of the provisions of the Constitution of India.

The amendment was put and carried.
The question that clauses 9 to 24 stand part of the Bill was put and carried.
The question that Schedules I and II stand part of the Bill was put and carried.
Schedule I and II were added to the Bill.

LIBRARY ARCHIVES Kerala Legislative Assembly